Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/871,896	IKEGAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.	(3)	
(2) Roland Martin	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2005	Time: <u>AM</u>	·
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) lo	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed:  N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE the Examiner indicated that the specification needed to be claimed subject matter since the claims had been amend	be amended to remove any confusio	
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguir not result in resolution of all issues. A brief seguir</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·		
		•
Kevin M. Bernatz, PhD Primary Examiner		
	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)